DOI: 10.1111/gcb.14788

Effects of climate warming on *Sphagnum* **photosynthesis in peatlands depend on peat moisture and species-specific anatomical traits**

Vincent E. J. Jassey1,2,3 | **Constant Signarbieux2,3,[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7780-5366)**

1 Laboratoire d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, CNRS‐INPT, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

²School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering (ENAC), Ecological Systems Laboratory (ECOS), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

 3 WSL Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Lausanne, Switzerland

4 Institute of Geography, University of Neuchatel, Neuchatel, Switzerland

Correspondence

Vincent E. J. Jassey, Laboratoire d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, CNRS‐ INPT, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France. Email: vincent.jassey@univ-tlse3.fr

Funding information

French National Research Agency, Grant/ Award Number: ANR‐17‐CE01‐0007 and ANR‐07‐VUL‐010; EPFL; WSL

Abstract

Climate change will influence plant photosynthesis by altering patterns of temperature and precipitation, including their variability and seasonality. Both effects may be important for peatlands as the carbon (C) sink potential of these ecosystems depends on the balance between plant C uptake through photosynthesis and microbial decomposition. Here, we show that the effect of climate warming on *Sphagnum* community photosyn‐ thesis toggles from positive to negative as the peatland goes from rainy to dry periods during summer. More particularly, we show that mechanisms of compensation among the dominant *Sphagnum* species (*Sphagnum fallax* and *Sphagnum medium*) stabilize the average photosynthesis and productivity of the *Sphagnum* community during summer despite rising temperatures and frequent droughts. While warming had a negligible ef‐ fect on *S. medium* photosynthetic capacity (A_{max}) during rainy periods, A_{max} of *S. fallax* increased by 40%. On the opposite, warming exacerbated the negative effects of droughts on *S. fallax* with an even sharper decrease of its A_{max} while *S. medium* A_{max} remained unchanged. *S. medium* showed a remarkable resistance to droughts due to anatomical traits favouring its water holding capacity. Our results show that different phenotypic plasticity among dominant *Sphagnum* species allow the community to cope with rising temperatures and repeated droughts, maintaining similar photosynthesis and productivity over summer in warmed and control conditions. These results are important because they provide information on how soil water content may modulate the effects of climate warming on *Sphagnum* productivity in boreal peatlands. It further confirms the transitory nature of warming‐induced photosynthesis benefits in boreal systems and highlights the vulnerability of the ecosystem to excess warming and drying.

KEYWORDS

climate change, extreme events, net photosynthesis, phenotypic plasticity, soil water content, *Sphagnum fallax*, *Sphagnum magellanicum*, temperate and boreal ecosystem, trait plasticity

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Northern ecosystems currently experience an unprecedented era of climatic changes. All historical records have witnessed import‐ ant increase in air temperature during the past decades that are likely to exceed 2°C on average by the end of the century (Marcott, Shakun, Clark, & Mix, 2013). Along with warming, observational data further evidenced interannual and seasonal variability in pre‐ cipitation patterns (Fischer & Knutti, 2016; Groisman et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2017). Most detectable changes are the increase of the frequency and intensity of heavy precipitation and drought events (Dai, 2013; Fischer & Knutti, 2016; Martin, 2018). Global **3860 WILEY Global Change Biology Research Contract Contra**

and regional climate models showed that more heavy precipitation episodes often lead to longer period of continuous dry days (Allan & Soden, 2008) at the expense of light and moderate precipitation (Dai, 2011; Ljungqvist et al., 2016; Sherwood & Fu, 2014). It is, therefore, crucial that we increase our understanding of the po‐ tential impact of future climate changes on northern ecosystems, as warming and precipitation variation are expected to cause dra‐ matic shifts in their structure and composition (Biorkman et al., 2018; Elmendorf et al., 2012), with potential global consequences.

Northern ecosystems contain nearly half of the world's below‐ ground carbon (C). As major soil C stock (Yu et al., 2011), peatlands are expected to contribute to the majority of warming‐induced soil C loss over the next century (Crowther et al., 2016). Plant photosyn‐ thesis strongly affects C balance of peatlands (Frolking et al., 1998), which in turn influence regional and global climates (Booth et al., 2012; Peichl et al., 2018). More particularly, photosynthesis of peat‐ forming mosses (*Sphagnum* spp.) strongly influence below‐ground C storage (Bengtsson, Granath, & Rydin, 2016). Nearly 16% of the annual net C fixed through photosynthesis by *Sphagnum* mosses is stocked belowground as peat (Laiho, 2006), corresponding to a net C accumulation of ca. 40 g m−2 year−1 in wet years (Roulet et al., 2007). Understanding the sensitivity of *Sphagnum* photosynthetic metabo‐ lism to temperature and precipitation changes thus emerges as the most important need for better predicting the consequences of climate changes on C balance in northern ecosystems.

Whether northern peatlands will continue to function as C sink in a warmer and drier climate is currently uncertain (Gallego‐Sala et al., 2018; Jassey et al., 2018; Laine et al., 2019; Walker, Ward, Ostle, & Bardgett, 2015; Wang, Richardson, & Ho, 2015). Rising temperatures may increase *Sphagnum* photosynthesis and biomass production in wet and cool season where low temperatures can hinder plant growth (Buermann et al., 2018; Buttler et al., 2015; Robroek, Limpens, Breeuwer, & Schouten, 2007). However, recent studies also provide evidence that earlier and warmer springs may lead to less plant productivity during the subsequent summer and autumn, especially in northern ecosystems (Buermann et al., 2018). While warmer springs may favour plant biomass production through the development of larger leaves (Hudson, Henry, & Cornwell, 2011), spring warmth may have a profound impact on plant productivity during the late season through the build‐up of water deficits (Aerts, Cornelissen, & Dorrepaal, 2006).

More heavy, but less frequent precipitation events will strongly influence *Sphagnum* microhabitats during the growing season with deeper water tables and drier surface conditions. *Sphagnum* mosses lack stomata and water‐conducting tissues, making their C uptake through photosynthesis strongly dependent on water level depth (Robroek et al., 2007; Robroek, Schouten, Limpens, Berendse, & Poorter, 2009). Capillary rise usually provide water from the water table to the *Sphagnum* capitulum (i.e. apical and living part of *Sphagnum* moss) when the water table is shallow (Ketcheson & Price, 2014). In case of a deep water table, capillary rise strongly de‐ creases (McCarter & Price, 2012), and so does the *Sphagnum* C up‐ take. Intense summer droughts leading to deep water table are thus

expected to significantly reduce *Sphagnum* C uptake (Robroek et al., 2009). However, quick rainfall events during drought period may counteract the negative effects of drought on moss C uptake (Nijp et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the precipitation‐dependent effects on *Sphagnum* C uptake may strongly rely on the water retention capac‐ ity of the *Sphagnum* species and their tolerance to droughts. Given the high degree of climate sensitivity of peatland species to either cool or warm climates (Robroek et al., 2017), the intrinsic properties, such as anatomical traits, of dominant *Sphagnum* species are likely to determine the response of peatlands to climate warming in terms of C accumulation (Bengtsson et al., 2016; Laine et al., 2019; Mazziotta, Granath, Rydin, Bengtsson, & Norberg, 2019). Such understanding is urgently needed to predict future trajectories for peatlands under climate change and improve management strategies, global climate models and climate‐change mitigation actions.

Here, we took advantage of a 5 year experimental warming setup in a mountain peatland (The Forbonnet peatland; Jassey et al., 2013) to examine the response of *Sphagnum* photosynthesis to warming and precipitation variability. More particularly, during summer 2013, we quantified the photosynthetic capacity of the two most abundant *Sphagnum* species (*Sphagnum medium* and *Sphagnum fallax*) which have different climate sensitivity (Robroek et al., 2017). We further related their photosynthesis performances to characteristic anatomical traits of water retention capacity. Our overarching hypothesis is that effects of climate warming on *Sphagnum* photosynthesis depend on *Sphagnum* moisture condi‐ tions. *Sphagnum* species will probably experience both positive and negative effects of climate warming on photosynthesis. We expect positive effects when *Sphagnum* water content is relatively large, but negative effects when the *Sphagnum* mat is dry (<60% water content; Robroek et al., 2009). Nevertheless, we expect that the negative effect of warming in combination with drought will be less dramatic for the species with favourable traits for drought resis‐ tance than for the drought‐sensitive species.

2 | **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

2.1 | **Field site description, climate manipulation and climatic indices**

We conducted the experiment at the Forbonnet peatland located in the Jura Mountains, France (46°49′35″N, 6°10′20″E, alt. 840 m). Mean annual precipitation is ca. 1,700 mm and mean monthly tem‐ peratures in January and July are −1.4 and 14.6°C, respectively (me‐ teorological data from the Scientific Research Station). Lawn and hummock microhabitats characterize the peatland surface. In the lawns, *S. fallax* (H. Klinggr.) dominate the moss layer, while in hum‐ mocks, *S. medium* (Limpr.; *S. magellanicum* Brid. according to the former classification) and *S. fallax* are the co-dominant moss species (Buttler et al., 2015). Ericoid dwarf shrubs *Calluna vulgaris* (L.)*, Vaccinium oxycoccus* (L.), *Andromeda polifolia* (L.) and the two grami‐ noids *Eriophorum vaginatum* (L.) and *Carex rostrata* (Stokes.) charac‐ terize the vascular plant community.

In April 2008, three open-top-chambers (OTC; height 50 cm, basal diameter 250 cm) and three control (intact) plots were equally installed in each microhabitat (in total six OTCs, six control plots). In each plot, air temperature (10 cm above the *Sphagnum* surface) was recorded continuously every 30 min using thermocouple probes linked to a Campbell data‐logger (CR‐1000 Campbell). Overall, OTCs significantly increased mean air temperature in spring and summer by up to ca. 1.3°C. We did not find any difference in light inten‐ sity at the *Sphagnum* capitula level between control plots (1,509 ± 27 μmol of photons m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$) and the OTCs (1,496 ± 21 μmol of photons m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$). More details on the OTC effect on air and soil temperature can be found in Delarue et al. (2011) and Jassey et al. (2015). Furthermore, precipitation at the site was continuously monitored using sensors connected to the data‐logger. We quantified changes in daily heavy precipitation in the period 2009–2013 using percen‐ tile of daily precipitation (Fischer & Knutti, 2016). We first calculated average daily precipitation percentiles of all days (dry and wet) in the period 2009–2013 as a reference. We then binned the summer daily precipitation (June–September period) for each year according to the percentiles defined in the reference period, and observed frequency of occurrence of heavy precipitation (90th–99.9th percentiles), so that for example, 5% of all days fall in the bin 90th–95th percentiles. We further quantified the number of drought events during summer in the period 2009-2013. Any period with a minimum of three consecutive days without precipitation were considered as a drought event. We observed the frequency of occurrence of drought events greater than or equal to 3, 5, 6, 8, 10 and 14 consecutive days with‐ out precipitation for each summer between 2009 and 2013. Finally, we calculated a drought index (DI) based on a standardized precipi‐ tation evapotranspiration index (SPEI; Vicente‐Serrano et al., 2010) to estimate drought severity in summers 2009–2013. We used the FAO‐56 Penman‐Monteith equation implemented in the *spei* R pack‐ age to calculate atmospheric evaporative demand (ETo) each month based on average daily minimum and maximum temperatures, average daily temperature, average daily relative humidity, solar ra‐ diation, wind speed, latitude and altitude (Vicente‐Serrano et al., 2010). SPEI was then calculated as precipitation minus ETo over the

June–September period using *spei* R function. We used the reverse of SPEI values as a DI (Prugh et al., 2018), such that DI = −1 × SPEI. Positive DI values indicate an increase of aridity while negative DI values indicate the opposite.

2.2 | **Sample collection**

In 2013, we collected samples of *S. fallax* (both in hummocks and lawns) and *S. medium* (only in hummocks) for photosynthesis measurements at three different times during the growing season (Figure 1): (a) at the beginning of the summer (late June); (b) after frequent summer drought events (early September); and (c) after heavy precipitation (late September). For each campaign of measurements and in each plot, *Sphagnum* mosses were sampled at four permanently marked spots (ca. 3–5 shoots per spot). The permanent marked spots were placed to avoid any border effect from the OTC which could have decreased the amount of precipitation received by mosses. At the end of the growing season, we further collected *Sphagnum* mosses in every plot (ca. 20 shoots of fresh *Sphagnum*) to capture the integrative effect of 2013 climate on their leaf anatomical traits (see below). All collected *Sphagnum* shoots were cut at 1 cm from the top capitula; that is, *Sphagnum* capitula plus about half centimetre of stem according to the size of the capitulum. The term 'S*phagnum* capitula' is used hereafter for simplicity and to denote that we focused on top living segments of *Sphagnum* mosses only.

2.3 | **Photosynthetic capacity, C and nitrogen content**

We measured *Sphagnum* photosynthetic capacity (A_{max}) on three to five (depending of the size) *Sphagnum* capitula in order to fill the en‐ tire area of the chamber used for the measurements. A_{max} (maximum net $CO₂$ assimilation rate) was measured with an open-path infrared gas analyser (IRGA) system connected to a 2.5 cm^2 PLC-6 chamber (CIRAS‐2; PP‐Systems) under optimum conditions for light (i.e. 1,200 μmol of photons m^{-2} s⁻¹, which was previously determined in

FIGURE 1 Climatic conditions in the experiment in 2013. (a) Averaged temperature anomalies (daily mean temperature in warmed plots—daily mean temperature in control plots) and daily precipitation recorded in the experiment in 2013 and for the reference period 2009–2012. Red arrows represent the dates when samples were collected. (b) Frequency of drought events in 2009–2013 calculated as number of days without precipitation >3 (short drought event) to >14 (long drought event)

3862 WILEY-Global Change Biology Research Contract Contra

the field), temperature (20 ± 1°C), CO₂ concentration (380 ± 2 ppm) and relative air humidity ranged between 60% and 70%, maintaining a vapor-pressure deficit (VPD) <1 kPa. Immediately after measurements, *Sphagnum* capitula fresh weight was determined, and then capitula were freeze-dried to constant weight (DW). A_{max} was expressed per unit dry weight as mg of $CO₂$ per gram of DW per hour (mg g^{-1} hr⁻¹). The freeze-dried capitula from each date and plot were homogenized in a ball mill, and analysed for their C and nitrogen (N) concentrations using an elemental analyser (DELTA V Advantage; Thermo Scientific). Furthermore, health of photosystem II (PSII) was assessed through leaf chlorophyll a fluorescence emission using a pulse‐modulated Fluorescence monitoring system (PAM‐2500; Heinz Walz GmbH). According to Maxwell and Johnson (2000), we measured the maximum PSII efficiency (F_{ν}/F_{ν}) on fresh capitula after 30 min of dark adaptation using 2030B leaf‐clip holders (Heinz Walz GmbH).

To estimate the capacity of *S. fallax* to recover from extreme dry‐ ness (see Section 3), we performed rewetting response curves. We sampled *S. fallax* capitula with a water content of ca. 20% in four OTC plots (two lawns and two hummocks). We measured A_{max} as described above; that is, several capitula per measurement were used to fill the chamber. We rewatered the capitula in a water cup for 15 min to simulate extreme rain events following drought periods recorded on site (see Figure 1). The capitula were thus submerged in water and reached their maximum water holding capacity after few minutes. Following rewetting, we measured A_{max} every 15 min for an hour on the same capitula. No rewetting response curves were performed on *S. medium* as this species did not suffer from extreme drought in our site (see Section 3).

2.4 | *Sphagnum* **productivity**

We estimated *Sphagnum* productivity in each plot by multiplying their net CO₂ assimilation rate (A_{max} ; mg C g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) to total species frequency in each plot and the dry mass of capitula per square cen‐ timetre (g/cm²). First, we measured *Sphagnum* species frequency at peak biomass using the point intercept method in 50×50 cm subplots (Buttler et al., 2015). We used a Plexiglas frame with adjustable legs placed above a permanently marked subplot. A 20 holes ruler was moved along 20 different positions so as to obtain 400 measuring points on a regular grid. A metal pin (1 mm diameter) was lowered through each hole in the ruler and each contact of the pin with *Sphagnum* surface was recorded. Then, we divided the average dry mass of *Sphagnum* capitula measured in each plot by the respec‐ tive species frequency. By doing so, we obtained the productivity of each *Sphagnum* species for each plot, expressed as mg C cm⁻² hr⁻¹. We then summed *Sphagnum* productivity per plot (*S. fallax* + *S. medium* in hummocks and *S. fallax* in lawns) to estimate the cumulative productivity over the summer and at each sampling date.

2.5 | *Sphagnum* **anatomical traits**

We characterized the potential resistance of *S. fallax* and *S. medium* to drought using a suite of six anatomical traits: diameter, height and

volume of the capitulum, net water content at water saturation of the capitulum, number of hyaline cells in tissues (i.e. empty dead cells storing water) and surface (in μ m²) of the hyaline cells. In total, 120 individuals of *S. fallax* and *S. medium* were collected in the plots to estimate the volume of the capitula ($mm³$) by measuring their height and diameter using a precise ruler. Then, we used the same samples to quantify the net water content at water saturated of the capit‐ ula for both species. Capitula were watered until they reached their maximum water retention capacity. Excess of water was removed by allowing water to flow naturally after removing capitula from water. Then, individual capitula were weighted water‐saturated and dried after 3 days at 80°C. The net water content at water saturated of each individual was thus expressed in grams of water per gram of dry mass (g H2O2/g dm). At the cellular level, we randomly took 10 *Sphagnum* leaves from 10 capitula and prepared microscopic slides. We quantified the number of hyaline cells in tissues (number of hyaline cells per mm 2), and their surface (length × width; μ m 2) using a light microscope connected to a camera (LEICA ICC50 HD). Ten photographic grips on three individuals of each species were observed (in total 60 pictures), and cell measurements made using the LEICA suite software.

2.6 | **Numerical analyses**

All data were tested for normality and transformed if necessary. We used linear mixed effects models to test the effects of warming, drought and microhabitat on *Sphagnum* photosynthesis (A_{max}), fluorescence (F_v/F_m) , productivity, water content and C and N concentrations in capitula while accounting for the temporal repeated measurements in each plot on the three dates. All models were fitted including plots nested with date as a random effect on the intercept to correct for the inflation of the residual degrees of freedom that would have occurred if we were using repeated measurements as true replicates. The *nlme* package in R was used to run these models (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, Sarkar, & R Core Team, 2019; R Core Team, 2019). As a proxy for drought, we used the total amount of precipitation at the site 2 weeks before each sampling campaign to estimate the intensity and frequency of drought. Similar models were used to test the response of *Sphagnum* photosynthesis to precipitation percentiles. The response of *S. fallax* A_{max} to rewetting over time was tested using linear mixed effects models with time as a fixed effect and plot nested with time as a random effect on the intercept.

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | **Micrometeorology**

Overall, daily mean air temperature in OTCs during summer 2013 was 0.75°C (0.2–1.6°C) higher than in the control plots (*p* < .05). Although this warming effect was significant, its intensity was lower than the 2009–2012 period (ca. −1°C; Figure 1a). The number of warming anom‐ alies higher than 3°C was indeed three times lower in 2013 (23 days) than in 2009–2012 (on average 75 days). Similarly, precipitation patterns in summer 2013 strongly differed from 2009 to 2012 despite similar

amount of summer precipitation (2013 = 535 mm; 2009–2012 = 550 mm on average; Figure 1a). Moreover, higher drought index in 2013 compared to 2009–2012 confirms that summer 2013 was drier than years before despite similar precipitation amounts (Figure S1). Precipitation in summer 2013 were characterized by a higher frequency of drought events compared to 2009–2012, especially long droughts (i.e. >8 days; Figure 1b). Nevertheless, precipitation records also showed that heavy and very heavy precipitation were more frequent in summer 2012 and 2013 when compared to the period 2009–2011 (Figure S2).

3.2 | *Sphagnum* **C, N and water contents**

At the beginning and the end of summer 2013, *S. fallax* and *S. medium* water content reached about 90%, both in control and warmed plots. Repeated drought events during summer 2013 reduced the water content of *S. fallax* (−20%, *p* < .001; Table 1) and *S. medium* (−15%, *p* < .01; Table 1) in control plots, although such decrease was more pronounced for *S. fallax* (Figure 2a–c). We found that warming exacerbated the negative effects of summer droughts on *S. fallax* water content with an even sharper decrease of its water content (−75%, *p* < .001; Figure 2a,b; Table 1) while no effect was found on *S. medium* water content (*p* = .19; Figure 2c; Table 1). Neither droughts nor warming influenced C content of either *Sphagnum* species (ca. 460 mg/g dw in both species (Tables 1 and 2). While *S. medium* N content remained stable along with drought and warm‐ ing, N content in *S. fallax* capitula decreased with drought by 20% in each microhabitat respectively (*p* < .01; Tables 1 and 2).

3.3 | **Response of** *Sphagnum* **photosynthesis and productivity to drought and warming**

In general, *S. medium* had a higher maximum net CO₂ photosynthetic rate (A_{max} , 1.69 mg C g⁻¹ dw hr⁻¹ in controls) than *S. fallax* (0.78 mg C g^{-1} dw hr⁻¹ in controls; Figure 2d-f), while their maximum PSII efficiency (F_v/F_m) values, relating to the integrity of the chlorophyll,

 Comparison SIGNARBIEUX 3863
 Comparison Comparison Comparison

were similar (ca. 0.65; Figure 2g-i). Repeated droughts during summer strongly reduced A_{max} of *S. fallax* ($p < .001$; Table 1) and *S. medium* (*p* < .05; Table 1) in controls by 73% and 58% respectively (Figure 2d–f). However, F_v/F_m values of both species were not influenced by drought in control conditions (Figure 2g-i). Rising temperatures had contrasting effects on *A*max according to *Sphagnum* species. While *S. medium* photosynthesis did not respond to higher temperatures (Figures 2f and 3a; Table 1), the warming treatment had a markedly different effect on *A*max of *S. fallax* when mosses were either dry or wet (Figures 2d,e and 3b; Table 1). When *S. fallax* moisture was high (mostly 50th and 75th percentiles), warming increased A_{max} by 40% on average (Figure 3b), but whenever substantial moisture deficit occurred (25th percentile), warming decreased *S. fallax* A_{max} by 45% on average. Due to an interaction between warming and drought, *S. fallax* A_{max} even switched from CO₂ assimilation to CO₂ respiration (negative values of A_{max}) in warmed plots (Figures 2d,e, and 3b). Similarly, F_v/F_m of *S. fallax* in warmed plots strongly differed from controls under drought (*p* < .001, Figure 2g,h; Table 1) and dropped below 0.4 in both microhabitats.

Over the summer*,* cumulative *Sphagnum* community productivity (both species were pooled) was similar in control and warmed plots with ca. 0.09 mg C cm⁻² hr⁻¹ (Figure 4a). This similarity was despite significant variations of *Sphagnum* community productivity accord‐ ing to precipitation amounts (warming \times drought: $F_{1,13} = 0.24$; $p = .64$; Figure 4b). More particularly, we found that differential responses of both *Sphagnum* species to warming and/or warming × drought main‐ tained *Sphagnum* community productivity in warmed plots at the end of the growing season. While drought × warming suppressed *S. fallax* productivity (−50%; warming × drought: $F_{1,13}$ = 5.2; *p* < .05), we found that warming increased its productivity (+47%) under wet conditions, and thus offset drought-induced loss (Figure 4a). Also important was the resistance of *S. medium* productivity to drought × warming con‐ ditions ($F_{1,13}$ = 0.23; $p = .64$) that compensated the loss of *S. fallax* productivity under such conditions (Figure 4b,d). On the opposite, the higher productivity of *S. fallax* under wet and warmer conditions compensated the decrease of *S. medium* productivity (Figure 4).

FIGURE 2 Photosynthetic characteristics and water content measured in *Sphagnum fallax* (*n* = 36; hummocks and lawns) and *Sphagnum medium* (*n* = 18; hummocks only). (a-c) Water content (%) in *Sphagnum* capitula. (d-f) Maximum net CO₂ assimilation rate (A_{max}) of *Sphagnum* mosses. (g-i) *Sphagnum* maximum efficiency of photosystem II system (F_v/F_m). Letters indicate significant differences at *p* < .05 among periods of cumulated precipitation (linear mixed effect models; see Table 1 for details). Asterisks indicate significant differences at *p* < .05 among control and warmed treatments (linear mixed effect models; see Table 1 for details)

TABLE 2 Summary of anatomical traits of *Sphagnum fallax* and *Sphagnum medium*

Letters indicate significant differences among warming treatments at *p* < .05 (linear mixed effect models).

WC (percentile of *Sphagnum capitula* water content)

FIGURE 3 *Sphagnum* photosynthesis according to *Sphagnum* capitula water content. Mean maximum net CO₂ assimilation rate (*A*max ± *SEM*) of (a) *S. medium* and (b) *S. fallax* in control (black) and warmed (white) treatments compared during periods that ranged from dry to wet conditions. Periods represent *Sphagnum* water content within treatments across all measurements, from dry to wet, that are the 25th, 50th, 75th and 95th wettest percentiles of *Sphagnum* capitula water content for each species and treatment. The percentiles occurred on nearly identical sampling dates in both treatments. Per cent values on the top of bars represent the averaged *Sphagnum* capitula water content within a percentile. They are all similar among treatments, except for the 25th percentile of *Sphagnum fallax* that was drier in warmed plots than in ambient plots. Asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments at *p* < .05 in the same percentile category

FIGURE 4 Response of *Sphagnum* community productivity to warming (*Sphagnum fallax* and *Sphagnum medium* productivities were pooled). (a) Cumulative *Sphagnum* community productivity for the period June–September 2013 in control (black) and warmed (white) treatments. (b) *Sphagnum* community productivity at each sampling date (represented by cumulative precipitation 2 weeks before sampling) in control and warmed treatments. (c, d) *Sphagnum fallax* (hummocks and lawns pooled) and *S. medium* productivity at each sampling date

3.4 | *S. fallax* **response recovery to drought**

Rewetting–response curves showed that *S. fallax* photosynthesis re‐ covered quickly from extreme drought following rewetting, that is, within an hour. *S. fallax* photosynthesis linearly increased with time (*F*1,15 = 69.9; *p* < .001; Figure 5) to reach a plateau 45 min after rewet‐ ting. After 15 min of rewetting, *S. fallax* photosynthetic capacity was already twofold higher than its initial dry state. After an hour of rewetting, *S. fallax* photosynthesis was sevenfold higher than its initial dry stage with values equivalent to *S. fallax* in healthy conditions (Figure 5).

3.5 | *Sphagnum* **water retention capacity**

According to their anatomical traits, *S. fallax* and *S. medium* strongly differed in their capacity to hold water. *S. medium* water holding ca‐ pacity was three times higher than *S. fallax,* thanks to a volume of capitulum eight times higher than *S. fallax* (Table 2). Although *S. fallax* had a higher number of hyaline cells (2,077 hyaline cells, mm⁻²) than *S. medium* (1,734 hyaline cells, mm−2), the capacity of *S. medium* hya‐ line cells to store water was 1.5 times higher than *S. fallax* (Table 2). *S. medium* was more efficient in holding water, suggesting a better **3866 WILEY-Global Change Biology Research Contract Contra**

FIGURE 5 Response of the photosynthesis of dry *Sphagnum fallax* capitula to rewetting. Mean maximum net $CO₂$ assimilation rate (*A*max ± *SEM*) of *S. fallax* 0, 15, 30, 45 and 60 min after rewetting (black circles). *Sphagnum* capitula water content at the beginning (0 min) and the end of the rewetting (60 min) are indicated on the plot as percentage values. Grey square represents *S. fallax A*_{max} in control plots with a water content ≥85% as a reference

resistance to drought in term of CO₂ assimilation than *S. fallax* (Fig. S3). Furthermore, *S. fallax* anatomical traits were similar in both mi‐ crohabitats, and warming and control treatments (Table 2). On the contrary, the size of *S. medium* capitula tended to shrink with warm‐ ing, as showed by the reduction in capitula's height (−29%; $F_{1,4}$ = 8.7; *p* = .04) and volume (−43%; $F_{1,4}$ = 2.5; *p* = .18; Table 2) at the end of the growing season. We further found that the response of *Sphagnum* photosynthesis to warming in moist conditions was related to the magnitude of capitulum shrinkage (*p* < .01; Figure S4).

4 | **DISCUSSION**

Experimental manipulations of climate are essential to disentan‐ gling the mechanisms through which climate change will affect species performance and ecosystem processes. By combining an experimental climate warming experiment with the natural intensification of intermittency in precipitation, we were able to simulate a climate change consistent with most recent generations of climate models for northern Europe (Donat, Lowry, Alexander, O'Gorman, & Maher, 2016; Fischer & Knutti, 2016; Wang et al., 2017). Specifically, the increase of heavy precipitation events interrupted with longer, and subsequent more intense drought events in 2013 compared to 2009–2012 was consistent with simulations of future changes in precipitation patterns (Wang et al., 2017). However, despite these shifts in precipitation pat‐ terns, total *Sphagnum* primary productivity bore little change in warmed compared to control conditions at the end of the grow‐ ing season. We showed that the effects of climate warming on dominant *Sphagnum* species productivity flipped from positive to negative as the bog transited from rainy to dry periods. In other words, low soil moisture reduced *Sphagnum* productivity, but most importantly, suppressed the benefits of climate warming on productivity in wet periods. These results highlight the role of compensation dynamic within *Sphagnum* community in stabilizing its productivity in response to climate change, that is, the ability of species to compensate for the loss productivity when conditions are favourable (Cleland, Chuine, Menzel, Mooney, & Schwartz, 2007; Fu et al., 2019).

The response of both *Sphagnum* species was not consistent with the hypothesis that effects of experimental warming on photosyn‐ thesis would be positive during periods of high soil moisture. In rainy periods, warming had a negligible effect on *Sphagnum medium* photosynthetic capacity (A_{max}), whereas A_{max} of *S. fallax* increased by 40%. These findings indicate that the response of *Sphagnum* photosynthesis to temperature increase is not as straightforward as for other genus, like for example boreal trees (Reich et al., 2018). Previous findings showed contrasting effects of tempera‐ ture on *Sphagnum* ecophysiology (Breeuwer, Heijmans, Gleichman, Robroek, & Berendse, 2009; Dorrepaal, Aerts, Cornelissen, Callaghan, & Van Logtestijn, 2004; Gerdol, 1995; Gunnarsson, Granberg, & Nilsson, 2004; Robroek et al., 2007). In addition to these previous studies, our results suggest that *Sphagnum* species stabilize their community productivity by differences in the magni‐ tude of their responses to rising temperatures. More particularly, such differences may rely on species optimum temperature for photosynthesis as *S. fallax* seems to have its optimum photosynthe‐ sis around 30°C (Haraguchi & Yamada, 2011) and *S. medium* around 18°C (He, He, & Hyvönen, 2016). Unlike *S. fallax*, *S. medium* might have reached its maximum photosynthetic rate, explaining the negligible effects of warming on its photosynthesis. However, these previous studies used different protocols to establish the optimum temperatures for photosynthesis of both species, making this as‐ sumption wobbly. Furthermore, the average air temperature during the growing season was below 18°C, adding even more uncertainty on the fact that *S. medium* reached its maximum photosynthetic rate. Rather, our results suggest that environmental factors such as intermittence in precipitation and temperature seasonality in‐ fluenced the underlying biochemical and anatomical determinants of photosynthesis of both *Sphagnum* species differently. We found that the etiolation of warmed *S. medium* capitula seemed to have hampered its ability to increase its photosynthesis. The shrinkage of capitula may have triggered specific adjustments in the leaf anatomical determinants of $CO₂$ fixation and/or transfer (Sáez, Cavieres, & Galmés, 2018), limiting photosynthetic $CO₂$ assimilation of *S. medium* under higher temperatures. Ultimately, although the exact mechanisms are unclear, our results suggest that *S. medium* has a lower phenotypic and functional plasticity than *S. fallax* and as such is unable to adapt its morphology to cope with rising temperatures. On longer term, *S. medium* growth might further suf‐ fer from warming as suggested by the constant lower values of A_{max} in warmed compared to ambient conditions (Figures 2 and 3).

As expected, and in accordance with previous research (Breeuwer et al., 2009; Laine, Juurola, Hájek, & Tuittila, 2011; Robroek et al., 2007, 2009), limited water availability caused adverse effects on *Sphagnum* photosynthesis (Figure 3). The maximum net photosyn‐ thesis decreased once capitulum water content reached 85%; espe‐ cially *S. fallax* whose water holding capacity was lower than *S. medium* (Figure S4)*.* Nevertheless, both species maintained a minimum photo‐ synthesis despite long and frequent droughts during summer. More relevant to our overarching hypothesis, the warming treatment had a markedly different effect on *S. fallax* compared to *S. medium* when precipitation was scarce. During drought periods (25th percentile of *Sphagnum* water content), *S. fallax* photosynthesis in warmed con‐ ditions switched from CO₂ fixation to CO₂ release, while *S. medium* had similar $CO₂$ assimilation rate as in control conditions (Figure 3). Collectively, lower *Sphagnum* water content and *F*^v */F*^m are likely to have contributed to making the response of *S. fallax* photosynthe‐ sis to warming more negative than *S. medium* at low soil moisture. Exacerbated desiccation in warmed plots most likely damaged the thylakoid membranes of *S. fallax* as indicated by low F_v/F_m (Schwab & Heber, 1984), and, therefore, eliminated the potential benefits of ele‐ vated temperature on its photosynthetic performance. Despite iden‐ tical desiccation in warmed plots, *S. medium* maintained a more stable water content, and then photosynthesis, thanks to its anatomical traits favouring water‐holding (Figure S4). This indicates that species having structural characteristics that strengthen their resistance to drought may determine community productivity in extreme drought periods (Fry et al., 2018; Matias, Luis Quero, Zamora, & Castro, 2012) but not necessarily the community assemblage in the near future. Indeed, although *S. fallax* was less resistant to drought than *S. medium*, we found that its photosynthesis fully recovered from extreme des‐ iccation (20% of maximum capitulum water content; Figure S3) after a rewetting equivalent to 85% of capitulum water content. Recovery from desiccation is highly variable among *Sphagnum* mosses (Clymo, 1973; Hájek & Beckett, 2008; Hájek & Vicherová, 2014; Schipperges & Rydin, 1998). However, *Sphagnum* mosses from Cuspidata section (i.e. *S. fallax*) have been shown to survive slow rates of desiccation (Wagner & Titus, 1984) because they harden in the early stages of dehydration by forming a cellular protection. Hardening avoids cell damages, such as degradation of chlorophyll and rupture of cell mem‐ branes, and allows *Sphagnum* to tolerate and recover from drought (Hájek & Vicherová, 2014). While *S. medium* can be sensitive to re‐ peated extreme desiccations (Schipperges & Rydin, 1998), our results show that *S. fallax* photosynthesis, and most likely growth, promptly recover following rain drops, suggesting that this species could accli‐ mate to frequent drought events.

Our results provide information on how precipitation may modulate the effects of climate warming on *Sphagnum* productiv‐ ity in boreal peatlands. Climate models predict shifts in precip‐ itation rainfalls with rising temperatures towards more intense heavy rains but longer dry spells (Trenberth et al., 2014). Thus, the observed responses to climate warming are likely to be indica‐ tive of responses to future climate warming in northern peatlands. Furthermore, climate warming is likely to extend the season of active photosynthesis in boreal systems where longer positive ef‐ fects of warming on *Sphagnum* photosynthesis could help to offset the negative effects of drying on photosynthesis. Although the relative magnitude of such offsets will not be uniform according to species, our results show that *Sphagnum* community should con‐ tinue to assimilate C over the growing season. This result counters

 Compared SIGNARBIEUX 3867
 Compared Sides Change Biology -WILEY

the often implicit assumption that peatlands might turn into a C source instead of C sink under climate warming due to lower *Sphagnum* productivity (Nijp et al., 2015; Wu & Roulet, 2014; Zhao, Peichl, Öquist, & Nilsson, 2016). It rather corroborates the most recent model simulation and experiment of the peatland C sink with warming (Gallego‐Sala et al., 2018; Laine et al., 2019). While our results are reassuring in showing that the natural peatland C sink may remain in future, none of the potential changes in vascular plant performance have been taken into account (Gavazov et al., 2018; McPartland et al., 2019; Rastogi et al., 2019). Vascular plants may differ from *Sphagnum* mosses in their sensitivity to ris‐ ing temperatures and soil moisture (Buttler et al., 2015; Dieleman, Branfireun, McLaughlin, & Lindo, 2015; Mäkiranta et al., 2018; Rastogi et al., 2019), and exert powerful braking effects on po‐ tential benefits of climate warming on *Sphagnum* photosynthesis (Bragazza et al., 2016; Gavazov et al., 2018; Jassey et al., 2018).

In summary, our results have important implications for un‐ derstanding why *Sphagnum* community may maintain ecosystem functioning when subject to future climate warming. We show that functional compensation among *Sphagnum* species, whereby one species increases while the other decreases its photosynthetic performance, is a mechanism underlying weak or absent effects of warming on *Sphagnum* community performance over the growing season. This indicates that an aggregate community process such as productivity can be stabilized by differences in the magnitude and timing of species responses to climate warming (Gonzalez & Loreau, 2009). This is important for peatland conservation as it confirms that peat bog functioning can be maintained if species pool is preserved or compensated by the arrival of species with similar climatic sensitivity (Lamentowicz et al., 2019; Mäkiranta et al., 2018; Robroek et al., 2017). Investing for improving the con‐ servation of the full peat bog species pool appears as the highest priority in the mitigation of peatland impacts on climate change.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to Regional Scientific Council of Natural Heritage of the Franche‐Comté Region and its staff, particularly Geneviève Magnon, for granting access to the peatland in Le Forbonnet site. The authors kindly acknowledge Alexandre Buttler for sharing his data on *Sphagnum* cover in the experiment. We acknowledge the anonymous reviewers for providing valuable suggestions that significantly im‐ proved the quality of the manuscript. This research has been funded by MIXOPEAT (grant number ANR‐17‐CE01‐0007 to V.E.J. Jassey) and PEATWARM (ANR‐07‐VUL‐010 to F. Laggoun‐Défarge) projects from the French National Research Agency. Further fundings by the Bourgogne Franche‐Comté region and to C. Signarbieux and V.E.J. Jassey by EPFL and WSL supported this study.

ORCID

Vincent E. J. Jassey <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1450-2437> *Constant Signarbieux* <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7780-5366> **3868 WILEY-Global Change Biology Research Contract Contra**

REFERENCES

- Aerts, R., Cornelissen, J. H. C., & Dorrepaal, E. (2006). Plant performance in a warmer world: General responses of plants from cold, north‐ ern biomes and the importance of winter and spring events. *Plant Ecology*, *182*, 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-005-9031-1>
- Allan, R. P., & Soden, B. J. (2008). Atmospheric warming and the ampli‐ fication of precipitation extremes. *Science*, *321*, 1481–1484. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160787) doi.org/10.1126/science.1160787
- Bengtsson, F., Granath, G., & Rydin, H. (2016). Photosynthesis, growth, and decay traits in *Sphagnum* – A multispecies comparison. *Ecology and Evolution*, *6*, 3325–3341. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2119>
- Bjorkman, A. D., Myers‐Smith, I. H., Elmendorf, S. C., Normand, S., Rüger, N., Beck, P. S. A., … Weiher, E. (2018). Plant functional trait change across a warming tundra biome. *Nature*, *562*, 57–62. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0563-7) [org/10.1038/s41586-018-0563-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0563-7)
- Booth, B. B. B., Jones, C. D., Collins, M., Totterdell, I. J., Cox, P. M., Sitch, S., … Lloyd, J. (2012). High sensitivity of future global warming to land carbon cycle processes. *Environmental Research Letters*, *7*, 024002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024002>
- Bragazza, L., Buttler, A., Robroek, B. J. M., Albrecht, R., Zaccone, C., Jassey, V. E. J., & Signarbieux, C. (2016). Persistent high tempera‐ ture and low precipitation reduce peat carbon accumulation. *Global Change Biology*, *22*, 4114–4123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13319>
- Breeuwer, A., Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Gleichman, M., Robroek, B. J. M., & Berendse, F. (2009). Response of *Sphagnum* species mixtures to increased temperature and nitrogen availability. *Plant Ecology*, *204*, 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-009-9571-x>
- Buermann, W., Forkel, M., O'Sullivan, M., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Haverd, V., … Richardson, A. D. (2018). Widespread seasonal com‐ pensation effects of spring warming on northern plant productivity. *Nature*, *562*, 110–114. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0555-7>
- Buttler, A., Robroek, B. J. M., Laggoun‐Défarge, F., Jassey, V. E. J., Pochelon, C., Bernard, G., … Bragazza, L. (2015). Experimental warm‐ ing interacts with soil moisture to discriminate plant responses in an ombrotrophic peatland. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *26*, 964–974. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12296>
- Cleland, E. E., Chuine, I., Menzel, A., Mooney, H. A., & Schwartz, M. D. (2007). Shifting plant phenology in response to global change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *22*, 357–365. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.04.003) [j.tree.2007.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.04.003)
- Clymo,R.S.(1973).Thegrowthof*Sphagnum*:Someeffectsofenvironment. *Journal of Ecology*, *61*, 849–869. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2258654>
- Crowther, T. W., Todd‐Brown, K. E. O., Rowe, C. W., Wieder, W. R., Carey, J. C., Machmuller, M. B., … Bradford, M. A. (2016). Quantifying global soil carbon losses in response to warming. *Nature*, *540*, 104–108. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20150>
- Dai, A. (2011). Drought under global warming: A review. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, *2*, 45–65. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.81) [org/10.1002/wcc.81](https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.81)
- Dai, A. (2013). Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*, 52–58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1633) [org/10.1038/nclimate1633](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1633)
- Delarue, F., Laggoun‐Défarge, F., Buttler, A., Gogo, S., Jassey, V. E. J., & Disnar, J.‐R. (2011). Effects of short‐term ecosystem exper‐ imental warming on water-extractable organic matter in an ombrotrophic *Sphagnum* peatland (Le Forbonnet, France). *Organic Geochemistry*, *42*, 1016–1024. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgge](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.07.005) [ochem.2011.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2011.07.005)
- Dieleman, C. M., Branfireun, B. A., McLaughlin, J. W., & Lindo, Z. (2015). Climate change drives a shift in peatland ecosystem plant commu‐ nity: Implications for ecosystem function and stability. *Global Change Biology*, *21*, 388–395. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12643>
- Donat, M. G., Lowry, A. L., Alexander, L. V., O'Gorman, P. A., & Maher, N. (2016). More extreme precipitation in the world's dry and wet

regions. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*, 508–513. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2941) [nclimate2941](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2941)

- Dorrepaal, E., Aerts, R., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Callaghan, T. V., & van Logtestijn, R. S. P. (2004). Summer warming and increased winter snow cover affect *Sphagnum fuscum* growth, structure and produc‐ tion in a sub‐arctic bog. *Global Change Biology*, *10*, 93–104. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2003.00718.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2003.00718.x
- Elmendorf, S. C., Henry, G. H. R., Hollister, R. D., Björk, R. G., Bjorkman, A. D., Callaghan, T. V., … Wookey, P. A. (2012). Global assessment of experimental climate warming on tundra vegetation: Heterogeneity over space and time. *Ecology Letters*, *15*, 164–175. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01716.x) [org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01716.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01716.x)
- Fischer, E. M., & Knutti, R. (2016). Observed heavy precipitation increase confirms theory and early models. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*, 986– 991. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3110>
- Frolking, S. E., Bubier, J. L., Moore, T. R., Ball, T., Bellisario, L. M., Bhardwaj, A., … Whiting, G. J. (1998). Relationship between ecosys‐ tem productivity and photosynthetically active radiation for north‐ ern peatlands. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *12*, 115–126. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB03367) [org/10.1029/97GB03367](https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB03367)
- Fry, E. L., Savage, J., Hall, A. L., Oakley, S., Pritchard, W. J., Ostle, N. J., … Bardgett, R. D. (2018). Soil multifunctionality and drought resis‐ tance are determined by plant structural traits in restoring grassland. *Ecology*, *99*, 2260–2271. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2437>
- Fu, Z., Stoy, P. C., Poulter, B., Gerken, T., Zhang, Z., Wakbulcho, G., & Niu, S. (2019). Maximum carbon uptake rate dominates the interannual variability of global net ecosystem exchange. *Global Change Biology*, in press. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14731>
- Gallego‐Sala, A. V., Charman, D. J., Brewer, S., Page, S. E., Prentice, I. C., Friedlingstein, P., … Zhao, Y. (2018). Latitudinal limits to the predicted increase of the peatland carbon sink with warming. *Nature Climate Change*, *8*, 907–913. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0271-1>
- Gavazov, K., Albrecht, R., Buttler, A., Dorrepaal, E., Garnett, M. H., Gogo, S., … Bragazza, L. (2018). Vascular plant‐mediated controls on atmo‐ spheric carbon assimilation and peat carbon decomposition under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, *24*, 3911–3921. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14140) [org/10.1111/gcb.14140](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14140)
- Gerdol, R. (1995). Community and species‐performance patterns along an alpine poor‐rich mire gradient. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *6*, 175–182. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3236212>
- Gonzalez, A., & Loreau, M. (2009). The causes and consequences of compensatory dynamics in ecological communities. *The Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, *40*, 393–414. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173349) [org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173349](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173349)
- Groisman, P. Y., Knight, R. W., Easterling, D. R., Karl, T. R., Hegerl, G. C., & Razuvaev, V. (2005). Trends in intense precipitation in the climate record. *Journal of Climate*, *18*, 1326–1350. [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3339.1) [JCLI3339.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3339.1)
- Gunnarsson, U., Granberg, G., & Nilsson, M. (2004). Growth, production and interspecific competition in *Sphagnum*: Effects of temperature, nitrogen and sulphurtreatments on a boreal mire. *The New Phytologist*, *163*, 349–359. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01108.x>
- Hájek, T., & Beckett, R. P. (2008). Effect of water content components on desiccation and recovery in *Sphagnum* mosses. *Annals of Botany*, *101*, 165–173. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcm287>
- Hájek, T., & Vicherová, E. (2014). Desiccation tolerance of *Sphagnum* revisited: A puzzle resolved. *Plant Biology (Stuttgart, Germany)*, *16*, 765–773. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12126>
- Haraguchi, A., & Yamada, N. (2011). Temperature dependency of photo‐ synthesis of *Sphagnum* spp. distributed in the warm-temperate and the cool‐temperate mires of Japan. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, *2*, 716–725. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2011.25086>
- He, X., He, K. S., & Hyvönen, J. (2016). Will bryophytes survive in a warm‐ ing world? *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, *19*, 49–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2016.02.005>
- Hudson, J. M. G., Henry, G. H. R., & Cornwell, W. K. (2011). Taller and larger: Shifts in Arctic tundra leaf traits after 16 years of experimental warming. *Global Change Biology*, *17*, 1013–1021. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02294.x) [org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02294.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02294.x)
- Jassey, V. E. J., Chiapusio, G., Binet, P., Buttler, A., Laggoun‐Défarge, F., Delarue, F., … Gilbert, D. (2013). Above‐ and belowground linkages in *Sphagnum* peatland: Climate warming affects plant-microbial interactions. *Global Change Biology*, *19*, 811–823. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12075) [gcb.12075](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12075)
- Jassey, V. E. J., Reczuga, M. K., Zielińska, M., Słowińska, S., Robroek, B. J. M., Mariotte, P., … Buttler, A. (2018). Tipping point in plant‐fun‐ gal interactions under severe drought causes abrupt rise in peatland ecosystem respiration. *Global Change Biology*, *24*, 972–986. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13928) doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13928
- Jassey, V. E. J., Signarbieux, C., Hättenschwiler, S., Bragazza, L., Buttler, A., Delarue, F., … Robroek, B. J. M. (2015). An unexpected role for mixotrophs in the response of peatland carbon cycling to cli‐ mate warming. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 16931–17010. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16931) [org/10.1038/srep16931](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep16931)
- Ketcheson, S. J., & Price, J. S. (2014). Characterization of the fluxes and stores of water within newly formed *Sphagnum* moss cush‐ ions and their environment. *Ecohydrology*, *7*, 771–782. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1399) [org/10.1002/eco.1399](https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1399)
- Laiho, R. (2006). Decomposition in peatlands: Reconciling seemingly contrasting results on the impacts of lowered water levels. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *38*, 2011–2024. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.02.017) [soilbio.2006.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.02.017)
- Laine, A. M., Juurola, E., Hájek, T., & Tuittila, E.‐S. (2011). *Sphagnum* growth and ecophysiology during mire succession. *Oecologia*, *167*, 1115–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-2039-4>
- Laine, A. M., Mäkiranta, P., Laiho, R., Mehtätalo, L., Penttilä, T., Korrensalo, A., … Tuittila, E. S. (2019). Warming impacts on boreal fen CO² exchange under wet and dry conditions. *Global Change Biology*, *25*, 1995–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14617>
- Lamentowicz, M., Gałka, M., Marcisz, K., Słowiński, M., Kajukało‐ Drygalska, K., Druguet Dayras, M. D., & Jassey, V. E. J. (2019). Unveiling tipping points in long‐term ecological records from *Sphagnum*‐dominated peatlands. *Biology Letters*, *15*, 20190043– 20190047. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0043>
- Ljungqvist, F. C., Krusic, P. J., Sundqvist, H. S., Zorita, E., Brattström, G., & Frank, D. (2016). Northern Hemisphere hydroclimate variabil‐ ity over the past twelve centuries. *Nature*, *532*, 94–98. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17418) [org/10.1038/nature17418](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17418)
- Mäkiranta, P., Laiho, R., Mehtätalo, L., Straková, P., Sormunen, J., Minkkinen, K., … Tuittila, E.‐S. (2018). Responses of phenology and biomass production of boreal fens to climate warming under differ‐ ent water‐table level regimes. *Global Change Biology*, *24*, 944–956. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13934>
- Marcott, S. A., Shakun, J. D., Clark, P. U., & Mix, A. C. (2013). A reconstruc‐ tion of regional and global temperature for the past 11,300 years. *Science*, *339*, 1198–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1228026>
- Martin, E. R. (2018). Future projections of global pluvial and drought event characteristics. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *45*, 11913–11920. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079807>
- Matias, L., Luis Quero, J., Zamora, R., & Castro, J. (2012). Evidence for plant traits driving specific drought resistance. A community field experiment. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *81*, 55–61. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.03.002) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.03.002)
- Maxwell, K., & Johnson, G. N. (2000). Chlorophyll fluorescence A prac‐ tical guide. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *51*, 659–668. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659) [org/10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659](https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659)
- Mazziotta, A., Granath, G., Rydin, H., Bengtsson, F., & Norberg, J. (2019). Scaling functional traits to ecosystem processes: Towards a mech‐ anistic understanding in peat mosses. *Journal of Ecology*, *107*, 843– 859. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13110>
- McCarter, C. P. R., & Price, J. S. (2012). Ecohydrology of *Sphagnum* moss hummocks: Mechanisms of capitula water supply and simulated effects of evaporation. *Ecohydrology*, *7*, 33–44. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1313) [org/10.1002/eco.1313](https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1313)
- McPartland, M. Y., Kane, E. S., Falkowski, M. J., Kolka, R., Turetsky, M. R., Palik, B., & Montgomery, R. A. (2019). The response of boreal peatland community composition and NDVI to hydrologic change, warming, and elevated carbon dioxide. *Global Change Biology*, *25*, 93–107. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14465>
- Nijp, J. J., Limpens, J., Metselaar, K., Peichl, M., Nilsson, M. B., van der Zee, S. E. A. T. M., & Berendse, F. (2015). Rain events decrease boreal peatland net CO₂ uptake through reduced light availability. Global *Change Biology*, *21*, 2309–2320. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12864>
- Nijp, J. J., Limpens, J., Metselaar, K., van der Zee, S. E. A. T. M., Berendse, F., & Robroek, B. J. M. (2014). Can frequent precipitation moderate the impact of drought on peatmoss carbon uptake in northern peatlands? *New Phytologist*, *203*, 70–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12792>
- Peichl, M., Gažovič, M., Vermeij, I., de Goede, E., Sonnentag, O., Limpens, J., & Nilsson, M. B. (2018). Peatland vegetation composition and phenol‐ ogy drive the seasonal trajectory of maximum gross primary production. *Scientific Reports*, *8*, 8012. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26147-4>
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., & Sarkar, D.; R Core Team. (2019). *nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models*. R package version 3.1‐140. Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>
- Prugh, L. R., Deguines, N., Grinath, J. B., Suding, K. N., Bean, W. T., Stafford, R., & Brashares, J. S. (2018). Ecological winners and losers of extreme drought in California. *Nature Climate Change*, *8*, 819–824. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0255-1>
- R Core Team. (2019). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Rastogi, A., Stróżecki, M., Kalaji, H. M., Łuców, D., Lamentowicz, M., & Juszczak, R. (2019). Impact of warming and reduced precipitation on photosynthetic and remote sensing properties of peatland vegeta‐ tion. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *160*, 71–80. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.01.005) [org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.01.005)
- Reich, P. B., Sendall, K. M., Stefanski, A., Rich, R. L., Hobbie, S. E., & Montgomery, R. A. (2018). Effects of climate warming on photosynthesis in boreal tree species depend on soil moisture. *Nature*, *562*, 263–267. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0582-4>
- Robroek,B. J.M., Jassey,V.E. J.,Payne,R. J.,Martí,M.,Bragazza,L.,Bleeker, A., … Verhoeven, J. T. A. (2017). Taxonomic and functional turnover are decoupled in European peat bogs. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 1161. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01350-5>
- Robroek, B. J. M., Limpens, J., Breeuwer, A., & Schouten, M. G. C. (2007). Effects of water level and temperature on performance of four *Sphagnum* mosses. *Plant Ecology*, *190*, 97–107. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-006-9193-5) [org/10.1007/s11258-006-9193-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-006-9193-5)
- Robroek, B. J. M., Schouten, M. G. C., Limpens, J., Berendse, F., & Poorter, H. (2009). Interactive effects of water table and precipitation on net CO² assimilation of three co‐occurring *Sphagnum* mosses differing in distribution above the water table. *Global Change Biology*, *15*, 680– 691. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01724.x>
- Roulet, N. T., Lafleur, P. M., Richard, P. J. H., Moore, T. R., Humphreys, E. R., & Bubier, J. (2007). Contemporary carbon balance and late Holocene carbon accumulation in a northern peatland. *Global Change Biology*, *13*, 397–411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01292.x>
- Sáez, P. L., Cavieres, L. A., Galmés, J., Gil‐Pelegrín, E., Peguero‐Pina, J. J., Sancho-Knapik, D., ... Bravo, L. A. (2018). In situ warming in the Antarctic: Effects on growth and photosynthesis in Antarctic vascular plants. *New Phytologist*, *218*, 1406–1418. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15124>
- Schipperges, B., & Rydin, H. (1998). Response of photosynthesis of *Sphagnum* species from contrasting microhabitats to tissue water content and repeated desiccation. *New Phytologist*, *140*, 677–684. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1998.00311.x>

3870 WILEY Global Change Biology Research Construction of the SIGNARBIEUX

- Schwab, K. B., & Heber, U. (1984). Thylakoid membrane stability in drought‐tolerant and drought‐sensitive plants. *Planta*, *161*, 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00951458>
- Sherwood, S., & Fu, Q. (2014). Climate change. A drier future? *Science*, *343*, 737–739. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1247620>
- Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., van der Schrier, G., Jones, P. D., Barichivich, J., Briffa, K. R., & Sheffield, J. (2014). Global warming and changes in drought. *Nature Climate Change*, *4*, 17–22. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2067) [nclimate2067](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2067)
- Vicente‐Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., López‐Moreno, J. I., Vicente‐ Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., & López‐Moreno, J. I. (2010). A multi‐ scalar drought index sensitive to global warming: The standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. *Journal of Climate*, *23*, 1696– 1718. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1>
- Wagner, D. J., & Titus, J. E. (1984). Comparative desiccation tolerance of two *Sphagnum* mosses. *Oecologia*, *62*, 182–187. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379011) [org/10.1007/BF00379011](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379011)
- Walker, T. N., Ward, S. E., Ostle, N. J., & Bardgett, R. D. (2015). Contrasting growth responses of dominant peatland plants to warm‐ ing and vegetation composition. *Oecologia*, *178*, 141–151. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3254-1) [org/10.1007/s00442-015-3254-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3254-1)
- Wang, G., Wang, D., Trenberth, K. E., Erfanian, A., Yu, M., Bosilovich, M. G., & Parr, D. T. (2017). The peak structure and future changes of the relationships between extreme precipitation and temperature. *Nature Climate Change*, *7*, 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3239>
- Wang, H., Richardson, C. J., & Ho, M. (2015). Dual controls on carbon loss during drought in peatlands. *Nature Climate Change*, *5*, 584–587. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2643>
- Wu, J., & Roulet, N. T. (2014). Climate change reduces the capacity of northern peatlands to absorb the atmospheric carbon dioxide: The different responses of bogs and fens. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *28*, 1005–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004845>
- Yu, Z., Beilman, D. W., Frolking, S., MacDonald, G. M., Roulet, N. T., Camill, P., & Charman, D. J. (2011). Peatlands and their role in the global carbon cycle. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, *92*, 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011EO120001>
- Zhao, J., Peichl, M., Öquist, M., & Nilsson, M. B. (2016). Gross primary production controls the subsequent winter $CO₂$ exchange in a boreal peatland. *Global Change Biology*, *22*, 4028–4037. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13308) [org/10.1111/gcb.13308](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13308)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Jassey VEJ, Signarbieux C. Effects of climate warming on *Sphagnum* photosynthesis in peatlands depend on peat moisture and species-specific anatomical traits. *Glob Change Biol*. 2019;25:3859–3870. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14788) [org/10.1111/gcb.14788](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14788)